

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Brain-specific *Foxp1* deletion impairs neuronal development and causes autistic-like behaviourC Bacon^{1,2}, M Schneider^{3,7}, C Le Magueresse^{2,4,5,7}, H Froehlich^{1,2}, C Sticht⁶, C Gluch³, H Monyer^{2,4} and GA Rappold^{1,2}

Neurodevelopmental disorders are multi-faceted and can lead to intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorder and language impairment. Mutations in the Forkhead box *FOXP1* gene have been linked to all these disorders, suggesting that it may play a central role in various cognitive and social processes. To understand the role of *Foxp1* in the context of neurodevelopment leading to alterations in cognition and behaviour, we generated mice with a brain-specific *Foxp1* deletion (*Nestin-Cre^{Foxp1}−/−* mice). The mutant mice were viable and allowed for the first time the analysis of pre- and postnatal neurodevelopmental phenotypes, which included a pronounced disruption of the developing striatum and more subtle alterations in the hippocampus. More detailed analysis in the CA1 region revealed abnormal neuronal morphogenesis that was associated with reduced excitability and an imbalance of excitatory to inhibitory input in CA1 hippocampal neurons in *Nestin-Cre^{Foxp1}−/−* mice. *Foxp1* ablation was also associated with various cognitive and social deficits, providing new insights into its behavioural importance.

Molecular Psychiatry advance online publication, 30 September 2014; doi:10.1038/mp.2014.116

INTRODUCTION

Dysfunction of motor, social, sensory and cognitive aspects play a major role in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and intellectual disability (ID). A high comorbidity is often observed between these disorders, suggesting that mutations in critical genes can cause a spectrum of neuropsychiatric phenotypes.¹ The Forkhead box transcription factor *FOXP1*, for example, has been linked to various cognitive disorders. *FOXP1*-specific deletions, mutations and chromosomal breakpoints interrupting the gene have been reported in patients with ID, ASD, speech and language deficits, and motor development delay.^{1–5} Collectively, these studies have provided strong evidence for *FOXP1* mutations underlying specific cognitive phenotypes; however, the importance of *FOXP1* in brain function remains largely undefined.

FOXP1 is a member of the Forkhead Box P (FOXP) subfamily of transcription factors, which also includes *FOXP2*.⁶ The role of *FOXP2* in human speech and language has generated extreme interest⁷ and the emerging evidence now implicating *FOXP1* in the pathology of language impairment as well as a broader range of human cognitive disorders is intriguing. FOXP1 and FOXP2 form heterodimers for transcriptional regulation⁸ and are co-expressed in many brain regions,^{9,10} suggesting that they co-operate in common pathways for cognitive and language development.

Animal models often pave the way to understanding a human disorder at the causal and mechanistic level. Several mutant and knockout (KO) *Foxp2* mouse models have been generated to elucidate the role of *Foxp2* in brain development, revealing insightful phenotypes including developmental delay, motor impairment, cerebellar abnormalities and disrupted synaptic plasticity in

the striatum.^{11–13} These studies have helped to establish a role for *Foxp2* in the development of neural circuits contributing to language development and possibly wider cognitive function. Animal models are not yet available to investigate the role of *Foxp1* in brain development, although a conventional *Foxp1* KO mouse, which is lethal at embryonic day (E) 14 because of a cardiac defect,¹⁴ has illustrated the importance of *Foxp1* in a range of non-neural developmental processes¹⁵ and in the development of motor neurons in the spinal cord.¹⁶

In this study, we report the generation and characterisation of mice where *Foxp1* is deleted specifically in the brain. *Nestin-Cre^{Foxp1}−/−* mice are viable and hence are suitable to address *Foxp1* function in brain development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of a conditional *Foxp1* KO mouse

Homozygous floxed *Foxp1* mice¹⁷ were crossed with Nestin-Cre deleter mice¹⁸ heterozygous for the floxed *Foxp1* allele, producing 25% homozygous *Foxp1* KO, 25% heterozygous *Foxp1* KO and 50% wild-type (WT) offspring. All mice were C57 Bl6.

Immunohistochemistry and quantification of striatal region

Immunohistochemistry was performed on paraffin sections of E14, E16 and E18, postnatal day (P) 1 and 21, and adult brains (see Supplementary information). Striatal area was quantified using the freehand tool in ImageJ (US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Tyrosine hydroxylase staining was used to define the striatal area for quantification. The striatal area was normalised to the total brain area.

¹Department of Molecular Human Genetics, Medical Faculty of Heidelberg University, Im Neuenheimer Feld 366, Heidelberg, Germany; ²Interdisciplinary Centre for Neurosciences (IZN), University of Heidelberg, Germany; ³Research Group Developmental Neuropsychopharmacology, Institute of Psychopharmacology, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Germany; ⁴Department of Clinical Neurobiology, Medical Faculty of Heidelberg University and German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany; ⁵INSERM UMR-S 839, University Pierre and Marie Curie, Paris, France and ⁶Medical Research Center, University of Heidelberg, Theodor_Kutzer_Ufer 1-3, Mannheim, Germany. Correspondence: Professor GA Rappold, Department of Molecular Human Genetics, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 366, Heidelberg 69120, Germany.

E-mail: gudrun.rappold@med.uni-heidelberg.de

⁷These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received 24 February 2014; revised 29 July 2014; accepted 4 August 2014

Affymetrix mRNA microarray

Tissue preparation (P1 striata), RNA isolation, gene expression profiling and data analysis was performed as described in the Supplementary information.

Electrophysiological analysis of CA1 hippocampal pyramidal neurons

Electrophysiological analyses were performed on 250 μm transverse hippocampal slices of *Foxp1* KO and WT mice aged between P18 and P25 (see Supplementary information).

Behavioural analyses of *Foxp1* KO mice

A total of 49 adult male mice aged between 8 and 18 weeks (WT: $n=34$; *Foxp1* KO: $n=15$) were used in behavioural tests and animals were age-matched as much as possible for each individual test. Tests for locomotor activity, repetitive behaviours, non-spatial and spatial short-term memory, anxiety, social interaction, prepulse inhibition (PPI) of the acoustic startle reflex (ASR), and nestbuilding behaviour were performed and analysed as described in the Supplementary information. Social interaction was assessed in an open field apparatus with a same-sex juvenile partner.

RESULTS

We deleted *Foxp1* specifically in neural tissues using the Cre-Lox system to generate a conditional *Foxp1* mutant mouse, *Nestin-Cre^{Foxp1}-/-*. Immunohistochemistry confirmed a loss of Foxp1 protein in the brains of *Nestin-Cre^{Foxp1}-/-* mice (Supplementary Figure 1). *Nestin-Cre^{Foxp1}-/-* mice are referred to as *Foxp1* KO mice throughout the manuscript. *Foxp1* KO mice are viable but have a significantly reduced body weight compared with WT littermates (see Supplementary information).

Analysis of *Foxp1* KO brain morphology, neuronal morphogenesis and affected pathways in the striatum

The striatum is significantly disrupted in the brains of Foxp1 KO mice. To determine whether loss of *Foxp1* causes gross morphological abnormalities, we first performed Calbindin immunohistochemistry (Figure 1a) and Nissl stainings (Figure 2) on adult *Foxp1* KO brains. A significant enlargement of the lateral ventricles in *Foxp1* KO brains was observed (WT = $0.00974 \mu\text{m}^{-2}$; KO = $0.0504 \mu\text{m}^{-2}$, P -value (t test) = < 0.0001 ; values represent lateral ventricle area normalised to the area of the whole brain), comparable with the magnetic resonance imaging scan of a patient with haploinsufficiency of *FOXP1*, which revealed prominent lateral ventricles.⁵ In addition, a striking reduction of the striatal region (Figures 1a, b and c) was observed. To investigate the striatal phenotype further, we stained the striatum of adult brains using tyrosine hydroxylase immunohistochemistry, which revealed a reduced dorsal striatum and an enlargement of the ventral region (Figure 1b). The distinction between the dorsal and ventral striatum is based on specific cortical, thalamic and dopaminergic inputs and is not defined by a distinct border.¹⁹ As no markers exist that uniquely characterise either region, we quantified the total striatal area (defined as the area of tyrosine hydroxylase positive staining), which was significantly smaller in *Foxp1* KO brains compared with WT (Figure 1c).

To determine when the striatal phenotype arises, we examined the striatum at earlier stages of development. At P21 (Figures 1a and b; third panels), the striatum was as affected as in adult brains, but at P1 (Figures 1a and b; second panels), striatal disruption was considerably more subtle (Figure 1c). At E18, no difference was detected (Figure 1a and b; upper panels, 1c). These findings suggest that *Foxp1* plays an important role in normal development of the striatum starting at early postnatal stages.

Foxp1 regulates pathways associated with cell proliferation in the early postnatal striatum. To define those pathways involving

Foxp1 in the striatum at early postnatal stages, we performed microarray expression studies comparing gene expression in striatal tissue from *Foxp1* KO and WT animals at P1. We identified 85 significantly regulated genes, of which 61 were upregulated and 24 were downregulated (Supplementary Table 1). Gene Ontology and pathway analysis of the putative target genes was performed and revealed that pathways involved in nucleosome and chromatin assembly, mitosis and DNA replication are significantly affected (11 significantly altered pathways of 556 pathways analysed; Figure 1d).

Foxp1 KO striatal neurons show increased dendritic branching in vitro. To examine the morphology of individual *Foxp1* KO striatal neurons, we transfected primary striatal neurons cultured from E15 brains with a plasmid encoding eGFP (Supplementary Figure 2A). Sholl analysis revealed that *Foxp1* KO striatal neurons have a significantly more elaborate dendritic arbor than WT neurons (Supplementary Figure 2B). The difference between the groups remained significant after correction for multiple testing using Bonferroni correction.

Morphological and electrophysiological analysis of the *Foxp1* KO hippocampus

Subtle alterations in the CA1 region of the hippocampus in Foxp1 KO mice. Nissl stainings on adult brain revealed a misalignment of cells from the CA1 region of the hippocampus that appeared to be less densely packed compared with WT (Figure 2). CA1 hippocampal pyramidal neurons express *Foxp1* but not the closely related *Foxp2* (Supplementary Figure 3). These findings prompted us to perform whole-cell current-clamp recordings in neurons within the CA1 region of the hippocampus.

Foxp1 KO CA1 hippocampal pyramidal neurons have reduced excitability. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of individual pyramidal neurons within the CA1 region of the hippocampus at P21 were measured and revealed a significantly reduced firing rate in response to depolarizing current steps in *Foxp1* KO neurons (Figures 3a and b). The membrane capacitance, input resistance and resting membrane potential were unaffected (Figure 3c). Recordings of spontaneous miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents revealed significantly increased amplitudes in *Foxp1* KO mice, while the frequency remained unchanged (Figures 3d and e). *Foxp1* is expressed postsynaptically in CA1 neurons but not presynaptically in CA3 neurons, suggesting an increased number of synaptic α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionate receptors in *Foxp1* KO neurons. The absence of presynaptic changes was further confirmed by measuring the coefficient of variation and paired-pulse ratio of postsynaptic currents evoked by Schaffer collateral stimulation. The coefficient of variation is a measure of the fluctuation of the postsynaptic response which is solely determined by the presynaptic release probability and the number of release sites. The paired-pulse ratio is a quantification of the postsynaptic response facilitation that is observed for the second of two stimulation pulses applied in close succession and is a function of the release probability. Both coefficient of variation and paired-pulse ratio remained unaltered in *Foxp1* KO neurons (Supplementary Figure 4), confirming that the increase in α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionate receptor-mediated currents is purely postsynaptic in CA1 neurons. The amplitude and frequency of miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents was similar in control and *Foxp1* KO mice (Figures 3f and g). Field recording experiments showed that tetanus-induced long-term potentiation at Shaffer collateral CA1 synapses was also similar in WT and *Foxp1* KO mice (Supplementary Figure 5). Sholl analysis of CA1 pyramidal cells revealed that apical dendritic branches in *Foxp1* KO neurons did not exceed $600 \mu\text{m}$ from the soma and clustered in closer proximity to the somatic




Figure 1. Morphological defects in the developing *Foxp1* KO brain. Calbindin (**a**) and tyrosine hydroxylase (**b**) immunohistochemistry showing gross morphological disruption in the striatal region. Scale bar represents 1000 μ m in adult brain sections, 500 μ m in all others. (**c**) Quantification of the striatal region as defined by area of tyrosine hydroxylase positive staining, demonstrating a significant reduction in the striatum of *Foxp1* KO brains, starting at P1. At least 12 sections from at least three WT and three KO brains were quantified for each stage. (**d**) Pathway analysis of microarray expression studies on P1 *Foxp1* KO and WT striatal tissue showing the top 11 significantly regulated pathways.




Figure 2. Nissl staining on adult brain slices showing morphological alteration in the CA1 hippocampal region of KO brains compared with WT ($\times 4$) (a). (b) Higher magnification images of the CA1 region ($\times 20$). At least four brains were examined for each genotype. Scale bars represent 500 μm .

compartment than in WT neurons. This difference was statistically significant (Supplementary Figure 6 A, B, C). Basal dendrite length and total apical dendrite length were not affected.

Behavioural analysis of *Foxp1* KO mice

We were interested to examine the behavioural phenotype of our *Foxp1* KO mice as *FOXP1* mutations are associated with various behavioural deficits in humans, including social unattainability, hyperactivity, altered learning and memory, and specific obsessions.^{2,3} We analysed *Foxp1* heterozygous mice in all tests described, except for repetitive behaviour and anxiety. *Foxp1* heterozygous KO mice performed equally to WT mice in all tests (data not shown).

Hyperactivity in *Foxp1* KO mice. We first assessed the locomotor ability of the mice. For both distance travelled and total rearing time, *Foxp1* KO mice displayed a higher activity level within the open field than WT mice (Figures 4a and b) (distance travelled $P < 0.001$; total rearing time $P = 0.011$) of the mice in the open field, suggesting that *Foxp1* KO mice are hyperactive.

Impaired short-term memory in *Foxp1* KO mice. Spatial and non-spatial short-term memory was measured in the open field using the object location and object recognition test. Exploration of the objects was slightly enhanced in both tests in *Foxp1* KO mice compared with WT mice during the initial exploration phase ($P = 0.054$). However, *Foxp1* KO mice failed to discriminate the novel object during object recognition testing ($P = 0.002$) (Figure 4d) and the object placed in a novel position during object location testing ($P = 0.017$) (Figure 4c), suggesting that *Foxp1* KO mice have impaired spatial and non-spatial short-term memory.

Increased repetitive behaviours in *Foxp1* KO mice. A first qualitative behavioural screen in the open field revealed that repetitive behaviours were almost absent in WT mice. In contrast, jumping and wall scrabbling was frequently observed in KO animals. Frequency ($P < 0.001$), duration ($P < 0.001$) and score for repetitive behaviours ($P < 0.001$) were significantly increased in KO mice compared with WT (Figure 4e).

Impaired social behaviour in *Foxp1* KO mice. *Foxp1* KO mice showed strikingly reduced exploratory behaviour in all categories (anogenital exploration, $P < 0.001$; non-anogenital exploration, $P < 0.001$; approach/following, $P < 0.001$) (Figure 4f, Supplementary videos 1 and 2). Additionally, *Foxp1* KO mice showed a significantly increased evasion of social contact compared with WT ($P < 0.001$) (Figure 4g). This reduced social exploratory behaviour is not attributable to a heightened anxiety as *Foxp1* KO mice actually show reduced anxiety (see below). Although not classically a social behaviour, we also assessed the nestbuilding

ability of the mice, which is considered important within a colony of animals. Nestbuilding ability of *Foxp1* KO mice was drastically impaired, with no attempt made to construct a nest after nesting material was provided ($P = 0.008$); (Supplementary figure 7C).

***Foxp1* KO mice have altered PPI of the ASR.** Startle is a fast response to sudden, intense stimuli. The ASR has been used as a behavioural tool to assess the neuronal basis of behavioural plasticity and investigate dysfunctions of sensorimotor information processing. PPI is the reduction of the ASR by presentation of an acoustic stimulus before the startling stimulus and is reduced in a variety of neuropsychiatric disorders characterised by a disruption in sensorimotor processing.²⁰ As the striatum is involved in sensorimotor processing, we measured PPI of the ASR in *Foxp1* KO mice.

The ASR amplitude of *Foxp1* KO mice without a prepulse was lower than that of WT mice (WT ASR = 79.45, s.e.m. = 17.86; *Foxp1* KO ASR = 26.75, s.e.m. = 3.96), possibly because of the reduced body weight of *Foxp1* KO mice. However, this difference in ASR amplitude was not significant ($P = 0.074$). *Foxp1* KO mice showed a significantly reduced PPI than WT mice when exposed to a startle stimulus following a prepulse intensity of 70 dB and above (65 dB, $P = 0.338$; 70 dB, $P = 0.002$; 75 dB, $P < 0.001$; 80 dB, $P < 0.001$) (Figure 4h), suggesting impaired attentional processing. This difference is not attributable to the insignificant reduction in ASR amplitude as the PPI was calculated as a percent decrease of the ASR magnitude when the startle stimulus was preceded by a prepulse.

Reduced anxiety in *Foxp1* KO mice. We observed a reduced frequency of anxiety-related behaviours in *Foxp1* KO mice in the open field (Supplementary Figure 7A) and therefore decided to perform preliminary testing under low-anxiety conditions in the elevated plus maze. This revealed reduced anxiety in *Foxp1* KO mice (values as means \pm s.e.m.: % time in open arms: KO = 82.6 \pm 1.9; WT = 43.8 \pm 15.0; $P = 0.11$; % open arm entries: KO = 80.6 \pm 5.2; WT = 37.9 \pm 11.3; $P = 0.03$). Therefore, a different cohort of animals was tested under high-anxiety-inducing conditions and this confirmed reduced anxiety in *Foxp1* KO (Figure 4h). KO mice displayed significantly higher entries onto open arms ($P = 0.002$) and time spent on open arms ($P = 0.006$). No significant differences could be observed in closed arm entries ($P > 0.05$) between both genotypes.

DISCUSSION

Mutations in *FOXP1* can lead to a spectrum of neurodevelopmental phenotypes including ID and ASD. Interestingly, the most striking frameshift and nonsense mutations were detected in those patients who presented an ASD phenotype.^{2,4} Here we used a *Foxp1* KO mouse model to define the underlying




Figure 3. Decreased excitability and increased excitatory synaptic transmission in *Foxp1* KO hippocampal pyramidal neurons. **(a)** Sample spike trains evoked by a 300 pA somatic current injection in a control (left) and a *Foxp1* KO CA1 pyramidal neuron (right). **(b)** mean f-i curve for control ($n = 10$) and *Foxp1* KO neurons ($n = 12$). $***P < 0.001$ (ANOVA, main effect). **(c)** Cell capacitance (C_m), cell resistance (R_m) and resting membrane voltage (V_{rest}) in control and *Foxp1* KO neurons. **(d)** Sample traces of miniature EPSCs from WT and *Foxp1* KO cells recorded in voltage clamp at -70 mV. Although the miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents peak frequency was not significantly altered, the mean peak amplitude was significantly larger in *Foxp1* KO neurons **(e)**, two-tailed t -test. $*P < 0.05$. WT, $n = 12/3$ cells/mice; *Foxp1* KO, $n = 10/2$ cells/mice. **(f)** Miniature inhibitory postsynaptic current example traces from each genotype. There was no significant change in the mean miniature inhibitory postsynaptic current peak frequency and amplitude between control ($n = 13/2$ cells/mice) and *Foxp1* KO cells ($n = 11/2$ cells/mice). **(g)** Data are shown as mean \pm s.e.m.




Figure 4. Altered behaviours in *Foxp1* KO mice. (a, b) Locomotor activity as measured by distance travelled (a) and total rearing time (b). (c) Spatial short-term memory measured by object location test. (d) Analysis of non-spatial short-term memory by object discrimination test. (e) Analysis of repetitive behaviours illustrating the total frequency of jackhammer jumping, jumping and upright wall scrabbling. (f–g) Tests of social interaction in *Foxp1* KO mice including social exploration (f) and social retreat (g). (h) Measurement of prepulse inhibition (PPI) of the acoustic startle reflex (ASR). In all graphs, black bars represent WT and orange bars represent KO values. Fourteen WT and 6 *Foxp1* KO mice were analysed in each test, except repetitive behaviour, where 11 WT and 6 *Foxp1* KO animals were analysed.

neurodevelopmental pathology of the *FOXP1* disorder and identified phenotypes that mirror those reported in both human patients and mouse models of cognitive disorders, particularly ASD.

Foxp1 KO behavioural phenotypes associated with ASD

Foxp1 KO mice have a reduced ability for short-term recognition memory and memory for spatial contexts, which have been described before in ASD patients²¹ and in mouse models of ASD.^{22–24} The effect on spatial memory may be explained by the CA1 hippocampal deficits we observed in *Foxp1* KO as the hippocampus is important for spatial memory.²⁵ The disruption of the striatal region in *Foxp1* KO mice may also contribute to the deficits in learning and memory. It has been shown that striatal lesions and infusion of the striatum with a dopaminergic antagonist results in impaired performance in spatial learning tests,²⁶ while object recognition is impaired by administration of glutamate antagonists to the striatum.²⁷ Interestingly, the striatum has previously been associated with the pathology of ASD in both mice and humans.^{28,29}

Foxp1 KO mice also displayed a higher occurrence of repetitive behaviours, in accordance with previous findings in mouse models

of autism.^{22,28} Repetitive motor behaviour is associated with abnormal activation of dopaminergic cortical-basal ganglia circuitry³⁰ and therefore might partially be explained by the morphological disruption we observed in the striatal region.

A striking reduction of social interest was also detected in *Foxp1* KO mice. Difficulties communicating and interacting with other people is a key feature of human ASD, and reduced social interaction as well as hyperactivity has been reported in mouse models of ASD before.^{23,24,31} A strong PPI deficit was observed in *Foxp1* KO mice, indicating impaired abilities for sensorimotor integration. Reduced PPI has been previously reported in ASD patients.³² This effect on PPI in *Foxp1* KO mice may be partly explained by the reduction in the striatal region as a cortico-limbic-striatopallidal circuit is involved in the circuit regulating PPI.²⁰

Imbalance of excitatory to inhibitory input in *Foxp1* KO hippocampal neurons

Excitatory/inhibitory imbalance is a hallmark feature of ASD. Several studies have reported that ASD-related mutations selectively impact glutamatergic or GABAergic synapses without affecting the other, leading to an imbalance of excitatory and

inhibitory inputs.³³ We have shown that the amplitude of miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents but not miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents is larger in *Foxp1* KO CA1 hippocampal neurons, suggesting that *Foxp1* KO neurons receive a disproportionate magnitude of excitatory to inhibitory input. In addition, excitability of CA1 pyramidal cells was reduced in *Foxp1* KO mice. Whether these physiological deficits occur independently of each other is not clear. It is possible that the excitability of *Foxp1* KO neurons is reduced to compensate for the increased glutamatergic transmission we observed, thus maintaining neurons within their physiological range of firing rate. Such a homeostatic scaling of neuronal excitability was observed, for instance, in response to changes in synaptic inputs in cultured cortical neurons.³⁴

The role of *Foxp1* in striatal development and neuronal morphogenesis

The striatal region is significantly reduced in early postnatal *Foxp1* KO mice suggesting a role for *Foxp1* in regulating striatal development. Comparison of gene expression in the *Foxp1* KO and WT striatum suggested that *Foxp1* may regulate the transcription of genes that induce proliferation of striatal neurons. Disruption of these pathways may explain the reduction in the *Foxp1* KO striatum. Indeed, *Foxp1* has previously been implicated in various types of cancer and depending on the tissue can function as both an oncogene and a tumour suppressor.^{6,35} Pathways involved in both the positive and negative regulation of programmed cell death were not found to be significantly affected in our expression analyses of P1 striatal tissue; therefore, impaired proliferation of striatal neurons may be the more likely explanation for the striatal phenotype.

Foxp1 may also play a role in neuronal morphogenesis. Our analyses revealed altered morphology of *Foxp1* KO striatal neurons *in vitro* and CA1 pyramidal neurons *in vivo*. The closely related *Foxp2* was shown already to regulate genes implicated in neurite outgrowth,³⁶ suggesting that *Foxp1* may also be involved in pathways that regulate morphogenesis in the embryonic brain, potentially as a heterodimer with *Foxp2*. Increased complexity of dendritic arborisation was also recently reported in striatal neurons in mice lacking *Shank3* associated with ASD.²⁸

Comparisons with the *Foxp2* KO phenotype

FOXP1 and *FOXP2* disruption causes distinct and overlapping clinical phenotypes, which have been reviewed previously.³⁷ Although a core feature of the *FOXP2* phenotype is developmental verbal dyspraxia, this has not been diagnosed in *FOXP1* patients, who show more general language impairment together with additional cognitive phenotypes such as ID and ASD.³⁷ *FOXP1* and *FOXP2* are biologically pleiotropic genes that may disrupt various intersecting developmental processes. It will be of extreme interest to define common and specific pathways regulated by these closely related transcription factors to further define the underlying pathology of the human phenotypes. Comparing the phenotypes of *Foxp1* and *Foxp2* mouse mutants represents important steps towards achieving this.

Homozygous loss of *Foxp1* in all tissues is embryonically lethal because of a cardiac defect,¹⁴ whereas homozygous *Foxp2* KO mice die around 2–3 postnatal weeks, possibly because of impaired lung function.^{11–13} We have now shown that brain-specific *Foxp1* deletion results in viable homozygous offspring with neurodevelopmental defects, but it remains to be shown whether this is also true for *Foxp2*, as no conditional deletion mutant of *Foxp2* exists to date.

Histological analysis of the early postnatal brain of homozygous *Foxp2* KOs revealed an abnormal cerebellum, with reduced foliation.^{11–13} We observed no reduction of the cerebellum upon dissection of *Foxp1* KO brains, which was expected as *Foxp1* is not expressed in the cerebellum.^{9,10} Both *Foxp1* and *Foxp2*, however,

are strongly expressed in the developing and mature striatum,^{9,10} yet unlike *Foxp1* homozygous KO mice, *Foxp2* homozygotes showed no gross morphological abnormalities in the forebrain at P21.^{11–13} Although this implies that *Foxp1* plays the more dominant role in early striatal development, compelling evidence exists that *Foxp2* is important for normal development and function of the mature striatum. Neuroimaging analyses on members of the KE family with a point mutation in the Forkhead domain of *Foxp2*, which causes developmental verbal dyspraxia, have revealed alterations in the grey-matter density of the striatum³⁸ and mice heterozygous for the identical point mutation in the KE family display impaired functioning of striatal circuits during the acquisition of motor skills.³⁹ Therefore, *Foxp1* may regulate striatal pathways as heterodimers together with *Foxp2*.

Foxp2 mutant mice do not produce ultrasonic isolation calls upon separation from their mother or nest.^{12,13} Such tests were beyond the scope of this study, but it will be of interest to determine whether *Foxp1* KO mice show similar alterations in ultrasonic calls in the future. It is also conceivable that speech disruption in *FOXP1* patients may be a consequence of the pronounced ID/ASD phenotype, rather than a disruption in specific language pathways.

In conclusion, our findings have demonstrated that *Foxp1* is critical for multiple neurodevelopmental processes. *Foxp1* appears to be crucial for normal cognitive function and social behaviour and plays an important role in striatal development at early postnatal stages. This is a time window when functional synapses are forming and the deficiencies associated with ASD may be initiated. The behavioural and physiological abnormalities in *Foxp1* KO mice are consistent with ASD-like phenotypes in other mouse models of ASD, support findings in human patients with *FOXP1* mutations and open up new opportunities for translational investigations.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor Gunther Schütz for providing the Cre deleter mice and Dr Phil Tucker for the floxed *Foxp1* mice. This work was supported by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Ra 380/15-1). Gudrun A. Rappold is a member of the CellNetworks Cluster of Excellence.

REFERENCES

- 1 Talkowski ME, Rosenfeld JA, Blumenthal I, Pillalamuri V, Chiang C, Heilbut A *et al*. Sequencing chromosomal abnormalities reveals neurodevelopmental loci that confer risk across diagnostic boundaries. *Cell* 2012; **149**: 525–537.
- 2 Hamdan FF, Daoud H, Rochefort D, Piton A, Gauthier J, Langlois M *et al*. De novo mutations in *FOXP1* in cases with intellectual disability, autism, and language impairment. *Am J Hum Genet* 2010; **87**: 671–678.
- 3 Horn D, Kapeller J, Rivera-Brugues N, Moog U, Lorenz-Depiereux B, Eck S *et al*. Identification of *FOXP1* deletions in three unrelated patients with mental retardation and significant speech and language deficits. *Hum Mutat* 2010; **31**: E1851–E1860.
- 4 O’Roak BJ, Deriziotis P, Lee C, Vives L, Schwartz JJ, Girirajan S *et al*. Exome sequencing in sporadic autism spectrum disorders identifies severe de novo mutations. *Nat Genet* 2011; **43**: 585–589.
- 5 Le Fevre AK, Taylor S, Malek NH, Horn D, Carr CW, Abdul-Rahman OA *et al*. *FOXP1* mutations cause intellectual disability and a recognizable phenotype. *Am J Med Genet A* 2013; **161**: 3166–3175.
- 6 Banham AH, Beasley N, Campo E, Fernandez PL, Fidler C, Gatter K *et al*. The *FOXP1* winged helix transcription factor is a novel candidate tumor suppressor gene on chromosome 3p. *Cancer Res* 2001; **61**: 8820–8829.
- 7 Fisher SE, Scharff C. *FOXP2* as a molecular window into speech and language. *Trends Genet* 2009; **25**: 166–177.

- 8 Li S, Weidenfeld J, Morrissey EE. Transcriptional and DNA binding activity of the Foxp1/2/4 family is modulated by heterotypic and homotypic protein interactions. *Mol Cell Biol* 2004; **24**: 809–822.
- 9 Ferland RJ, Cherry TJ, Preware PO, Morrissey EE, Walsh CA. Characterization of Foxp2 and Foxp1 mRNA and protein in the developing and mature brain. *J Comp Neurol* 2003; **460**: 266–279.
- 10 Teramitsu I, Kudo LC, London SE, Geschwind DH, White SA. Parallel FoxP1 and FoxP2 expression in songbird and human brain predicts functional interaction. *J Neurosci* 2004; **24**: 3152–3163.
- 11 French CA, Groszer M, Preece C, Coupe AM, Rajewsky K, Fisher SE. Generation of mice with a conditional Foxp2 null allele. *Genesis* 2007; **45**: 440–446.
- 12 Fujita E, Tanabe Y, Shiota A, Ueda M, Suwa K, Momoi MY *et al*. Ultrasonic vocalization impairment of Foxp2 (R552H) knockin mice related to speech-language disorder and abnormality of Purkinje cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; **105**: 3117–3122.
- 13 Groszer M, Keays DA, Deacon RM, de Bono JP, Prasad-Mulcare S, Gaub S *et al*. Impaired synaptic plasticity and motor learning in mice with a point mutation implicated in human speech deficits. *Curr Biol* 2008; **18**: 354–362.
- 14 Wang B, Weidenfeld J, Lu MM, Maika S, Kuziel WA, Morrissey EE *et al*. Foxp1 regulates cardiac outflow tract, endocardial cushion morphogenesis and myocyte proliferation and maturation. *Development* 2004; **131**: 4477–4487.
- 15 Hu H, Wang B, Borde M, Nardone J, Maika S, Allred L *et al*. Foxp1 is an essential transcriptional regulator of B cell development. *Nat Immunol* 2006; **7**: 819–826.
- 16 Dasen JS, De Camilli A, Wang B, Tucker PW, Jessell TM. Hox repertoires for motor neuron diversity and connectivity gated by a single accessory factor, FoxP1. *Cell* 2008; **134**: 304–316.
- 17 Feng X, Ippolito GC, Tian L, Wiehagen K, Oh S, Sambandam A *et al*. Foxp1 is an essential transcriptional regulator for the generation of quiescent naive T cells during thymocyte development. *Blood* 2010; **115**: 510–518.
- 18 Tronche F, Kellendonk C, Kretz O, Gass P, Anlag K, Orban PC *et al*. Disruption of the glucocorticoid receptor gene in the nervous system results in reduced anxiety. *Nat Genet* 1999; **23**: 99–103.
- 19 Alexander GE, Crutcher MD, DeLong MR. Basal ganglia-thalamocortical circuits: parallel substrates for motor, oculomotor, "prefrontal" and "limbic" functions. *Prog Brain Res* 1990; **85**: 119–146.
- 20 Koch M. The neurobiology of startle. *Prog Neurobiol* 1999; **59**: 107–128.
- 21 Williams DL, Goldstein G, Carpenter PA, Minshew NJ. Verbal and spatial working memory in autism. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2005; **35**: 747–756.
- 22 Penagarikano O, Abrahams BS, Herman EI, Winden KD, Gdalyahu A, Dong H *et al*. Absence of CNTNAP2 leads to epilepsy, neuronal migration abnormalities, and core autism-related deficits. *Cell* 2011; **147**: 235–246.
- 23 Tabuchi K, Blundell J, Etherton MR, Hammer RE, Liu X, Powell CM *et al*. A neuroligin-3 mutation implicated in autism increases inhibitory synaptic transmission in mice. *Science* 2007; **318**: 71–76.
- 24 Won H, Lee HR, Gee HY, Mah W, Kim JI, Lee J *et al*. Autistic-like social behaviour in Shank2-mutant mice improved by restoring NMDA receptor function. *Nature* 2012; **486**: 261–265.
- 25 Clark RE, Zola SM, Squire LR. Impaired recognition memory in rats after damage to the hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 2000; **20**: 8853–8860.
- 26 Ploeger GE, Spruijt BM, Cools AR. Spatial localization in the Morris water maze in rats: acquisition is affected by intra-accumbens injections of the dopaminergic antagonist haloperidol. *Behav Neurosci* 1994; **108**: 927–934.
- 27 Sargolini F, Roulet P, Oliverio A, Mele A. Effects of intra-accumbens focal administrations of glutamate antagonists on object recognition memory in mice. *Behav Brain Res* 2003; **138**: 153–163.
- 28 Peca J, Feliciano C, Ting JT, Wang W, Wells MF, Venkatraman TN *et al*. Shank3 mutant mice display autistic-like behaviours and striatal dysfunction. *Nature* 2011; **472**: 437–442.
- 29 Qiu A, Adler M, Crocetti D, Miller MI, Mostofsky SH. Basal ganglia shapes predict social, communication, and motor dysfunctions in boys with autism spectrum disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2010; **49**: 539–551, e1–4.
- 30 Langen M, Kas MJ, Staal WG, van Engeland H, Durston S. The neurobiology of repetitive behavior: of mice. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2011; **35**: 345–355.
- 31 Schmeisser MJ, Ey E, Wegener S, Bockmann J, Stempel AV, Kuebler A *et al*. Autistic-like behaviours and hyperactivity in mice lacking ProSAP1/Shank2. *Nature* 2012; **486**: 256–260.
- 32 Perry W, Minassian A, Lopez B, Maron L, Lincoln A. Sensorimotor gating deficits in adults with autism. *Biol Psychiatry* 2007; **61**: 482–486.
- 33 Rubenstein JL. Three hypotheses for developmental defects that may underlie some forms of autism spectrum disorder. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2010; **23**: 118–123.
- 34 Desai NS, Rutherford LC, Turrigiano GG. Plasticity in the intrinsic excitability of cortical pyramidal neurons. *Nat Neurosci* 1999; **2**: 515–520.
- 35 Koon HB, Ippolito GC, Banham AH, Tucker PW. FOXP1: a potential therapeutic target in cancer. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 2007; **11**: 955–965.
- 36 Vernes SC, Oliver PL, Spiteri E, Lockstone HE, Puliyadi R, Taylor JM *et al*. Foxp2 regulates gene networks implicated in neurite outgrowth in the developing brain. *PLoS Genet* 2011; **7**: e1002145.
- 37 Bacon C, Rappold GA. The distinct and overlapping phenotypic spectra of FOXP1 and FOXP2 in cognitive disorders. *Hum Genet* 2012; **131**: 1687–1698.
- 38 Watkins KE, Vargha-Khadem F, Ashburner J, Passingham RE, Connelly A, Friston KJ *et al*. MRI analysis of an inherited speech and language disorder: structural brain abnormalities. *Brain* 2002; **125**: 465–478.
- 39 French CA, Jin X, Campbell TG, Gerfen E, Groszer M, Fisher SE *et al*. An aetiological Foxp2 mutation causes aberrant striatal activity and alters plasticity during skill learning. *Mol Psychiatry* 2011; **17**: 1077–1085.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on the Molecular Psychiatry website (<http://www.nature.com/mp>)